

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/955,979	09/20/2001	Jang Jin Yoo	041501-5452	1915
9629	7590 01/26/2004		EXAMINER	
	LEWIS & BOCKIUS	AKKAPEDDI, PRASAD R		
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004		W	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2871	
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/955,979	YOO ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Prasad R Akkapeddi	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application at a second application and application application and application application application and application applic	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	If extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) 🛛 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2,5-7 and 9-17</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10.⊠ Other: <u>Please see the explanation given for item 2 above.</u>					
		2025			
	su	ROBERT H. KIM PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER ASSET			
		TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: (a) The applicant has not sufficiently addressed the 35 U.S.C 112 rejections raised in the previous office action regarding the new matter. The office action clearly states that the new matter includes "both the first electrode and the light-shielding layer are within the same unit pixel region". In the Remarks filed with the amendment dated 12/23/2003, the applicant does not address the light-shielding layer in the same unit pixel region. However, the applicant goes into lengthy discussion about the slit patterns within a unit pixel region for which the Examiner fully concurs with the applicant's arguments. (b) The recited limitations in the amended claims 2, 5-7 and 9-17 have been previously rejected as being anticipated by Kim (as they apply to claims 1, 4-8 and 12-14) under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and claims 2 and 9-11 under 35 U.S.C 103 (b) as being unpatentable over Kim in view of Woo. These references still apply to the amended claims and hence the application is not under condition for allowance.

RRA